

## Distributed Algorithms and Lower Bounds – Exercise 4

---

### Question 1: Luby's MIS Algorithm

In this question we will prove that Luby's algorithm requires  $O(\log n)$  rounds with high probability (a correct proof this time!).

Consider step  $i$  of Luby's algorithm, and let  $E$  be the set of remaining edges that were not removed in previous steps.

We'll use the following notation:

- $N(u) \subseteq V$ : the set of neighbors of node  $u$  in  $E$
- $d(u) = |N(u)|$  is the remaining degree of  $u$
- $x(u) \in [0,1]$ : the random priority chosen by node  $u$  in step  $i$

Let  $Z_{u \rightarrow v}$  be an indicator for the event that  $u$  got the highest priority out of all the nodes in  $u$ 's neighborhood *and also* in  $v$ 's neighborhood: that is,  $Z_{u \rightarrow v} = 1$  iff for all  $w \in N(u) \cup N(v)$  we have  $x(u) > x(w)$ .

- (1) What is  $\Pr[Z_{u \rightarrow v} = 1]$ ?
- (2) Can there be two neighbors  $u, w \in N(v)$  (where  $u \neq w$ ) such that  $Z_{u \rightarrow v} = 1$  and also  $Z_{w \rightarrow v} = 1$ ?
- (3) When  $Z_{u \rightarrow v} = 1$ , we say that node  $u$  killed all the directed edges  $(v, w)$  for  $w \in N(v)$ . Note that the real edges of the graph are undirected; a real edge  $\{v, w\}$  gets removed if either some node  $u$  killed  $(v, w)$  or some node  $u$  killed  $(w, v)$ . Find a lower bound for the number of directed edges killed in step  $i$ , in terms of the indicators  $Z_{u \rightarrow v}$  for the edges  $\{u, v\} \in E$  and the remaining degrees  $d(u)$  of the nodes in the graph.
- (4) Prove that the expected number of edges removed from the graph in step  $i$  of Luby's algorithm is at least  $|E|/2$ . (Careful not to over-count!)
- (5) Bonus: prove that Luby's algorithm terminates in  $O(\log n)$  rounds with probability at least  $2/3$ . (Hint: you need to show concentration around the expectation.)

## Question 2

Let  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ . Consider the following partial function for two players:

$$\text{GapDisj}(X, Y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |X \cap Y| \geq \epsilon \cdot n, \\ 1, & \text{if } |X \cap Y| = 0 \end{cases}$$

For inputs  $X, Y$  such that  $0 < |X \cap Y| < \epsilon \cdot n$ , the output is undefined, and the protocol is allowed to output whatever it wants.

What is the randomized communication complexity of  $\text{GapDisj}(X, Y)$  in terms of the input size  $n$  and the gap  $\epsilon$ ? Prove an upper and lower bound.

Bonus question: for those who like extremal combinatorics

What is the deterministic communication complexity of  $\text{GapDisj}(X, Y)$  in terms of  $n$  and  $\epsilon$ , assuming that  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{3}$ ?