

Charaterizing the calmness property in convex semi-infinite optimization. Modulus estimates.

Marco A. López

Alicante University

- 1 Calmness modulus of the feasible set mapping in linear SIP
- 2 Hölder calmness of the optimal set in convex SIP

1. Introduction and preliminaries

We consider the parameterized *linear optimization problem*:

$$P(c, a, b) : \begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c'x \\ \text{subject to} & a'_t x \leq b_t, \quad t \in T \end{array}$$

1. Introduction and preliminaries

We consider the parameterized *linear optimization problem*:

$$P(c, a, b) : \begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c'x \\ \text{subject to} & a_t'x \leq b_t, \quad t \in T \end{array}$$

We assume that

- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector of decision variables
- y' denotes the transpose of $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- $c \in \mathbb{R}^n, (a, b) \equiv (a_t, b_t)_{t \in T} \in (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^T$

1. Introduction and preliminaries

We consider the parameterized *linear optimization problem*:

$$P(c, a, b) : \begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c'x \\ \text{subject to} & a_t'x \leq b_t, \quad t \in T \end{array}$$

We assume that

- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector of decision variables
- y' denotes the transpose of $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- $c \in \mathbb{R}^n, (a, b) \equiv (a_t, b_t)_{t \in T} \in (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^T$

Symbol (★) means "this result also holds for *semi-infinite problems*, i.e. when T is infinite".

If T is compact Hausdorff, $t \mapsto a_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \mapsto b_t \in \mathbb{R}$ are continuous on T , the problem P is called *continuous*.

CANONICAL vs FULL PERTURBATIONS

- Feasible set mappings, $\mathcal{F} : (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^T \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathcal{F}(a, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_t'x \leq b_t, \text{ for all } t \in T\},$$

and $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}} : \mathbb{R}^T \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(b) := \mathcal{F}(\bar{a}, b).$$

CANONICAL vs FULL PERTURBATIONS

- **Feasible set mappings**, $\mathcal{F} : (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^T \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathcal{F}(a, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a_t'x \leq b_t, \text{ for all } t \in T\},$$

and $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}} : \mathbb{R}^T \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(b) := \mathcal{F}(\bar{a}, b).$$

- **Optimal set mappings**, $\mathcal{S} : \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^T \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{S}(c, a, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \text{ is an optimal solution for } P(c, a, b)\},$$

and $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{a}} : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^T \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{a}}(c, b) := \mathcal{S}(c, \bar{a}, b) \text{ (canonical perturbations).}$$

Topology

- The space of decision variables, \mathbb{R}^n , is equipped with an arbitrary norm, $\|\cdot\|$.

Topology

- The **space of decision variables**, \mathbb{R}^n , is equipped with an arbitrary norm, $\|\cdot\|$.
- The **parameter space**, $\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^T$, is endowed with the **supremum norm** (a proper norm if P is continuous!)

$$\|(c, a, b)\| := \max \{ \|c\|_*, \sup_{t \in T} \|(a_t, b_t)\| \},$$

where:

$$\|(a_t, b_t)\| = \max \{ \|a_t\|_*, |b_t| \}.$$

(Recall that $\|u\|_* := \max_{\|x\| \leq 1} |u'x|$).

Topology

- The **space of decision variables**, \mathbb{R}^n , is equipped with an arbitrary norm, $\|\cdot\|$.
- The **parameter space**, $\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^T$, is endowed with the **supremum norm** (a proper norm if P is continuous!)

$$\|(c, a, b)\| := \max \{ \|c\|_*, \sup_{t \in T} \|(a_t, b_t)\| \},$$

where:

$$\|(a_t, b_t)\| = \max \{ \|a_t\|_*, |b_t| \}.$$

(Recall that $\|u\|_* := \max_{\|x\| \leq 1} |u'x|$).

- For **canonical perturbations**, when $a = \bar{a}$, $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^T$ is also endowed with the corresponding **supremum norm**.

q -order error bounds of functions

Definition

Given the function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined on a metric space X , a point $\bar{x} \in [f \leq 0]$ and a number $q > 0$, we say that f admits a q -order local error bound at \bar{x} , if $\exists \kappa \geq 0$ and \exists a neighb. U of \bar{x} such that

$$d(x, [f \leq 0]) \leq \kappa [f(x)]_+^q, \quad \forall x \in U. \quad (1)$$

If $q = 1$, we say that f admits a local error bound at \bar{x} .

The infimum of all κ in (1) is called the *modulus of q -order error bounds of f at \bar{x}* , and it is denoted by $\text{Er}_q f(\bar{x})$.

The absence of q -order error bounds corresponds to $\text{Er}_q f(\bar{x}) = +\infty$.

Hölder calmness of mappings

X, Y metric spaces (distances in X and Y are denoted by d),

Definition

$\mathcal{M} : Y \rightrightarrows X$ is *q -order calm*, $q \in]0, 1]$, at $(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) \in \text{gph } \mathcal{M}$ if $\exists U$ neighb. of \bar{x} , $\exists V$ neighb. of \bar{y} , $\exists \kappa \geq 0$ such that

$$d(x, \mathcal{M}(\bar{y})) \leq \kappa d(y, \bar{y})^q, \quad \forall y \in V, \forall x \in \mathcal{M}(y) \cap U. \quad (2)$$

\mathcal{M} is *calm* if $q = 1$.

The infimum of all $\kappa \geq 0$ for which (2) holds is called the *q -order calmness modulus* of \mathcal{M} at (\bar{y}, \bar{x}) ; it is

$$\text{clm}_q \mathcal{M}(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) = \limsup_{\substack{y \rightarrow \bar{y}, \\ x \rightarrow \bar{x}, x \in \mathcal{M}(y)}} \frac{d(x, \mathcal{M}(\bar{y}))}{d(y, \bar{y})^q}.$$

If $\text{clm}_q \mathcal{M}(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) = +\infty$, \mathcal{M} is not *q -calm* at (\bar{y}, \bar{x}) .

Calmness under canonical perturbations

Theorem (Robinson, 1981)

If $\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^n$ is *polyhedral* ($\text{gph } \mathcal{M}$ is the finite union of polyhedral sets), then \mathcal{M} is *calm* at any $(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) \in \text{gph } \mathcal{M}$.

Calmness under canonical perturbations

Theorem (Robinson, 1981)

If $\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^n$ is *polyhedral* ($\text{gph } \mathcal{M}$ is the finite union of polyhedral sets), then \mathcal{M} is *calm* at any $(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) \in \text{gph } \mathcal{M}$.

Corollary

If T is *finite*, then $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{a}}$ are *calm* at any element of their graphs.

Remark $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{a}}$ is calm at any point of $\text{gph } \mathcal{S}_{\bar{a}}$ as Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions allow us to express the graph of $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{a}}$ as the finite union of polyhedral sets. This is no longer the case for \mathcal{S} in the framework of perturbations of all data.

What about the continuous problem P ? For the continuous system (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) , consider the *supremum function*

$$\bar{s}(x) := \max\{\bar{a}'_t x - \bar{b}_t, t \in T\}. \quad (3)$$

What about the continuous problem P ? For the continuous system (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) , consider the *supremum function*

$$\bar{s}(x) := \max\{\bar{a}'_t x - \bar{b}_t, t \in T\}. \quad (3)$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\partial \bar{s}(x) = \text{conv}\{\bar{a}_t : t \in T_{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}(x)\},$$

where

$$T_{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}(x) := \{t \in T : \bar{a}'_t x - \bar{b}_t = \bar{s}(x)\}.$$

Due to the continuity of $\bar{s}(\cdot)$, $\bar{x} \in \text{bd } \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b}) \Rightarrow \bar{s}(\bar{x}) = 0$.

What about the continuous problem P ? For the continuous system (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) , consider the *supremum function*

$$\bar{s}(x) := \max\{\bar{a}'_t x - \bar{b}_t, t \in T\}. \quad (3)$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\partial \bar{s}(x) = \text{conv}\{\bar{a}_t : t \in T_{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}(x)\},$$

where

$$T_{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}(x) := \{t \in T : \bar{a}'_t x - \bar{b}_t = \bar{s}(x)\}.$$

Due to the continuity of $\bar{s}(\cdot)$, $\bar{x} \in \text{bd } \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b}) \Rightarrow \bar{s}(\bar{x}) = 0$.

Proposition (CLPT'14)

$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}$ is calm at (\bar{b}, \bar{x}) if and only if \bar{s} has a *local error bound* at \bar{x} ; i.e., there exist $\kappa \geq 0$ and a neighborhood U of \bar{x} such that

$$d(x, [\bar{s} \leq 0]) \leq \kappa [\bar{s}(x)]_+, \text{ for all } x \in U.$$

Theorem

If P is a continuous problem and $\bar{x} \in \text{bd}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b})$, TFAE:

(i) $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}$ is calm at (\bar{b}, \bar{x})

(ii) $\alpha := \liminf_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, \bar{s}(x) > 0} d_*(0_n, \partial \bar{s}(x)) > 0$

(iii) $\beta := \liminf_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, \bar{s}(x) > 0} \sup_{u \neq x} \frac{[\bar{s}(x) - [\bar{s}(u)]_+]_+}{d(x, u)} > 0$

Moreover, we have

$$\text{clm}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b}, \bar{x}) = \alpha^{-1} = \beta^{-1}.$$

Remarks (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) can be traced out from Azé and Corvellec'04;
 (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) from Fabian, Henrion, Kruger and Outrata'12.

- P satisfies the *Abadie CQ (ACQ)* around $\bar{x} \in \text{bd}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b})$ if \exists neighb. U of \bar{x} such that

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b}), x) = \overline{\text{cone}\{\bar{a}_t : t \in T_{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}(x)\}}$$

at any $x \in \text{bd}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b}) \cap U$,

where **cone** A is the convex cone generated by A .

- P verifies the *uniform dual boundedness condition (UDB condition)* around $\bar{x} \in \text{bd}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b})$ if $\exists M > 0$ and a neighb. U of \bar{x} such that

$$\text{cone}\{\bar{a}_t : t \in T_{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}(x)\} \cap \mathbb{B}_* \subset [0, M]\partial\bar{s}(x), \quad \forall x \in \text{bd}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b}) \cap U.$$

- P satisfies the *Abadie CQ (ACQ)* around $\bar{x} \in \text{bd}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b})$ if \exists neighb. U of \bar{x} such that
$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b}), x) = \overline{\text{cone}\{\bar{a}_t : t \in T_{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}(x)\}}$$
 at any $x \in \text{bd}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b}) \cap U$, where $\text{cone } A$ is the convex cone generated by A .
- P verifies the *uniform dual boundedness condition (UDB condition)* around $\bar{x} \in \text{bd}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b})$ if $\exists M > 0$ and a neighb. U of \bar{x} such that
$$\text{cone}\{\bar{a}_t : t \in T_{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}(x)\} \cap \mathbb{B}_* \subset [0, M]\partial\bar{s}(x), \forall x \in \text{bd}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b}) \cap U.$$

Theorem (CLPT'14 Th. 3)

Let $\bar{x} \in \text{bd}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b})$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}$ is calm at (\bar{b}, \bar{x}) if and only if P satisfies ACQ and UDB around \bar{x} .

Remark This result is inspired in Zheng and Ng'03. ACQ and UDB are independent properties.

Calmness modulus of the feasible set mapping

Fix $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^T$, and associated with $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$, consider the family of subsets in $T_{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}(\bar{x})$:

$$\mathcal{D}(\bar{x}) := \left\{ D \subset T_{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}(\bar{x}) \mid \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{There exists } d \text{ verifying :} \\ \bar{a}'_t d = 1, \quad t \in D, \\ \bar{a}'_t d < 1, \quad t \in T_{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}(\bar{x}) \setminus D \end{array} \right\} \right\}$$

Theorem (CLPT'14, Ths. 4 and 5)

- (i) If T is finite, $\text{clm}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b}, \bar{x}) = \max_{D \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{x})} (d_*(0_n, \text{conv}\{\bar{a}_t, t \in D\}))^{-1}$
- (ii) (★) $\text{clm}\mathcal{F}((\bar{a}, \bar{b}), \bar{x}) = (\|\bar{x}\| + 1) \text{clm}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}(\bar{b}, \bar{x})$

Calmness under full perturbations

Theorem (CLPT'14, Cor. 2 (★))

Let $((\bar{a}, \bar{b}), \bar{x}) \in \text{gph } \mathcal{F}$; TFAE:

- (i) \mathcal{F} is calm at $((\bar{a}, \bar{b}), \bar{x})$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}$ is calm at (\bar{b}, \bar{x}) .

Calmness under full perturbations

Theorem (CLPT'14, Cor. 2 (★))

Let $((\bar{a}, \bar{b}), \bar{x}) \in \text{gph } \mathcal{F}$; TFAE:

- (i) \mathcal{F} is calm at $((\bar{a}, \bar{b}), \bar{x})$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}}$ is calm at (\bar{b}, \bar{x}) .

Theorem (CHaPT'16, Th. 4.1)

Assume that T is finite and $\mathcal{S}(\bar{c}, \bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \{\bar{x}\}$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{S} is calm at $((\bar{c}, \bar{a}, \bar{b}), \bar{x})$;
- (ii) Either Slater holds at (\bar{a}, \bar{b}) or $\mathcal{F}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \{\bar{x}\}$;
- (iii) $0_n \notin \text{bd conv } \left\{ \bar{a}_t, t \in T_{(\bar{a}, \bar{b})}(\bar{x}) \right\}$.

(Slater at (\bar{a}, \bar{b})) : there exists $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\bar{a}'_t \hat{x} < \bar{b}_t, t \in T$

Hölder calmness of the optimal set in convex SIP

Consider the following *convex SIP problem*:

$$P(c, b) : \begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & f(x) + c'x \\ \text{subject to} & g_t(x) \leq b_t, \quad t \in T, \end{array}$$

where $c, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, T is a *compact set*, $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g_t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $t \in T$, are *convex functions* such that $(t, x) \mapsto g_t(x)$ is *continuous* on $T \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and $t \mapsto b_t$ is *continuous* on T .

Also now, the pair $(c, b) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{C}(T, \mathbb{R})$ is the parameter to be perturbed, and the parameter space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{C}(T, \mathbb{R})$ is endowed with the norm

$$\|(c, b)\| := \max\{\|c\|, \|b\|_\infty\},$$

where now \mathbb{R}^n is equipped with the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|b\|_\infty := \max_{t \in T} |b_t|$.

We deal with the optimal set mapping

$$\mathcal{S} : (c, b) \mapsto \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \text{ is optimal for } P(c, b)\},$$

with $(c, b) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{C}(T, \mathbb{R})$.

In the case that \bar{c} is fixed, \mathcal{S} reduces to the partial optimal solution mapping $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}} : \mathcal{C}(T, \mathbb{R}) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}(b) = \mathcal{S}(\bar{c}, b).$$

Now, the feasible set mapping is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_t(x) \leq b_t, t \in T\},$$

and the set of active indices at $x \in \mathcal{F}(b)$ by

$$T_b(x) := \{t \in T \mid g_t(x) = b_t\}.$$

Definition

The problem $P(c, b)$ satisfies the *Slater constraint qualification* if there exists \hat{x} such that $g_t(\hat{x}) < b_t$ for all $t \in T$.

The following result plays a crucial role in our analysis.

Proposition

Let $(\bar{c}, \bar{b}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{C}(T, \mathbb{R})$ and assume that $P(\bar{c}, \bar{b})$ satisfies the Slater condition. Then $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{c}, \bar{b})$ if and only if the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions hold, i.e.,

$$\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{b}) \quad \text{and} \quad -(\partial f(\bar{x}) + \bar{c}) \cap \text{cone} \left(\bigcup_{t \in T_{\bar{b}}(\bar{x})} \partial g_t(\bar{x}) \right) \neq \emptyset.$$

$\text{cone}(X)$ is the conical convex hull of X ; always contains 0_n ,
entailing $\text{cone}(\emptyset) = \{0_n\}$.

We use the *level set mapping* $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{C}(T, \mathbb{R}) \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) + \bar{c}'x \leq \alpha; g_t(x) \leq b_t, t \in T\}$$

and the *supremum function* $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$h(x) := \sup\{f(x) + \bar{c}'x - (f(\bar{x}) + \bar{c}'\bar{x}); g_t(x) - \bar{b}_t, t \in T\}.$$

With $t_0 \notin T$, we define

$$\bar{T} := T \cup \{t_0\}, g_{t_0}(x) := f(x) + \bar{c}'x \text{ and } \bar{b}_{t_0} := f(\bar{x}) + \bar{c}'\bar{x},$$

and obviously,

$$h(x) = \sup\{g_t(x) - \bar{b}_t, t \in \bar{T}\}.$$

\bar{T} is compact (as t_0 is an isolated point in \bar{T}), the functions $(t, x) \mapsto g_t(x)$ is continuous on $\bar{T} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $b \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

Given the supremum function

$$h(x) := \sup \left\{ \underbrace{f(x) + \bar{c}'x}_{g_{t_0}(x)} - \underbrace{(f(\bar{x}) + \bar{c}'\bar{x})}_{\bar{b}_{t_0}}; g_t(x) - \bar{b}_t, t \in T \right\},$$

and the active set at x

$$\bar{T}(x) := \{t \in \bar{T} : h(x) = g_t(x) - \bar{b}_t\},$$

we have $t_0 \in \bar{T}(\bar{x})$, and

$$\partial h(x) = \text{conv} \left(\bigcup_{t \in \bar{T}(x)} \partial g_t(x) \right). \quad (4)$$

Since

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha, b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) + \bar{c}'x \leq \alpha; g_t(x) \leq b_t, t \in T\}$$

and $((\bar{c}, \bar{b}), \bar{x}) \in \text{gph}(\mathcal{S})$,

$$\mathcal{S}(\bar{c}, \bar{b}) = [h = 0] = [h \leq 0] = \mathcal{L}(f(\bar{x}) + \langle \bar{c}, \bar{x} \rangle, \bar{b}).$$

The following lemma provides a uniform boundedness result.

Lemma

Let $((\bar{c}, \bar{b}), \bar{x}) \in \text{gph}(\mathcal{S})$ and assume that $P(\bar{c}, \bar{b})$ satisfies Slater. Then, there exist $M > 0$ and neighborhoods U of \bar{x} and V of (\bar{c}, \bar{b}) such that, for all $(c, b) \in V$ and all $x \in \mathcal{S}(c, b) \cap U$, we have

$$-(\partial f(x) + c) \cap [0, M] \text{conv} \left(\bigcup_{t \in T_b(x)} \partial g_t(x) \right) \neq \emptyset. \quad (5)$$

Our approach strongly relies on the following proposition:

Proposition

Let $((\bar{c}, \bar{b}), \bar{x}) \in \text{gph}(\mathcal{S})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{L} is q -order calm at $((f(\bar{x}) + \langle \bar{c}, \bar{x} \rangle, \bar{b}), \bar{x}) \in \text{gph}(\mathcal{L})$;
- (ii) $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, h(x) \downarrow 0} h(x)^{q-1} d(0, \partial h(x)) > 0$.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Er}_q h(\bar{x}) &= \text{clm}_q \mathcal{L}((f(\bar{x}) + \langle \bar{c}, \bar{x} \rangle, \bar{b}), \bar{x}) = \\ &= \left\{ \liminf_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, h(x) \downarrow 0} h(x)^{q-1} d(0, \partial h(x)) \right\}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem constitutes a Hölder convex counterpart of Theorem 3.1 in Cánovas-Et-Al'14 for the linear case.

Theorem (Theorem 4.7 in Kruger, L, Yang, Zhu'19)

Let $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{c}, \bar{b})$ and assume that $P(\bar{c}, \bar{b})$ satisfies the Slater condition. Consider the following statements:

- (i) \mathcal{S} is q -order calm at $((\bar{c}, \bar{b}), \bar{x})$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}$ is q -order calm at (\bar{b}, \bar{x}) ;
- (iii) \mathcal{L} is q -order calm at $((f(\bar{x}) + \langle \bar{c}, \bar{x} \rangle, \bar{b}), \bar{x})$;
- (iv) h has a q -order local error bound at \bar{x} .

Then (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (ii) hold.

In addition, if f and g_t are linear, then (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv).

In the convex setting, (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) could fail:

$$P(0,0) : \begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & x^2 \\ \text{subject to} & x \leq 0, \end{array}$$

Take $\bar{c} = 0$, $\bar{b} = 0$, and $\bar{x} = 0$. Then $S_{\bar{c}}(\bar{b}) = \{0\}$ and $h(x) = \sup\{x^2, x\}$.

a) Given $q \in (1/2, 1]$, it is easy to verify that

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, h(x) \downarrow 0} h(x)^{q-1} d(0, \partial h(x)) = 0$$

and, by Proposition 3, \mathcal{L} is not q -order calm at $((0,0), 0) \in \text{gph}(\mathcal{L})$.

b) On the other hand, we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}(b) = \min\{0, b\}, \text{ for all } b \in (-1, 1). \quad (6)$$

Since $\|b\| \leq \|b\|^{\frac{2}{3}} \forall b \in (-1, 1)$, it follows from (6)

$$d(x, \mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}(\bar{b})) \leq \|b - \bar{b}\|^{\frac{2}{3}} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}(b) \cap (-1, 1) \text{ and } b \in (-1, 1), \quad (7)$$

i.e., $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}$ is $2/3$ -order calm at $(0, 0)$.

To provide a lower estimate for $\text{clm}_q \mathcal{S}((\bar{c}, \bar{b}), \bar{x})$, we associate with $(b, x) \in \text{gph } \mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}$ the family of KKT index sets

$$\mathcal{M}_b(x) := \left\{ D \subset T_b(x) \mid \begin{array}{l} -(\partial f(x) + c) \cap \text{cone}(\cup_{t \in D} \partial g_t(x)) \neq \emptyset \\ \text{and } D \text{ is minimal for the inclusion order} \end{array} \right\}$$

With any $D \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{b}}(\bar{x})$, we associate the function $f_D : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$f_D(x) := \sup \{ g_t(x) - \bar{b}_t, t \in T; -g_t(x) + \bar{b}_t, t \in D \}.$$

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{S}(\bar{c}, \bar{b}) = \{\bar{x}\}$ and assume that $P(\bar{c}, \bar{b})$ satisfies Slater. Then

$$\limsup_{\substack{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, b \rightarrow \bar{b} \\ x \in \mathcal{F}(b)}} \frac{\|x - \bar{x}\|}{\|b - \bar{b}\|_\infty^q} \geq \left(\inf_{D \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{b}}(\bar{x})} \liminf_{\substack{x \rightarrow \bar{x} \\ f_D(x) > 0}} f_D(x)^{q-1} d(0, \partial f_D(x)) \right)^{-1}.$$

Finally, we consider the linear case, i.e. $f = 0$, and $g_t(x) = a_t'x$ for all $t \in T$, and $t \mapsto a_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous on T .

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{S}(\bar{c}, \bar{b}) = \{\bar{x}\}$ and assume that $P(\bar{c}, \bar{b})$ satisfies the Slater condition. Then the following estimates hold

$$\begin{aligned} \text{clm}_q \mathcal{S}((\bar{c}, \bar{b}), \bar{x}) &\geq \text{clm}_q \mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}(\bar{b}, \bar{x}) \\ &\geq \left(\inf_{D \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{b}}(\bar{x})} \liminf_{\substack{x \rightarrow \bar{x} \\ f_D(x) > 0}} f_D(x)^{q-1} d(0, \partial f_D(x)) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \sup_{D \in \mathcal{M}_{\bar{b}}(\bar{x})} \text{Er}_q f_D(\bar{x}). \end{aligned}$$

If T is finite, the inequalities become equalities.

q -isolated calmness

Definition

Given a set valued mapping $\mathcal{M} : Y \rightrightarrows X$ between metric spaces Y and X and a number $q > 0$, we say that \mathcal{M} is q -order isolated calm at $(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) \in \text{gph } \mathcal{M}$ if there exist a number $\kappa > 0$ and neighborhoods U of \bar{x} and V of \bar{y} such that

$$d(x, \bar{x}) \leq \kappa d(y, \bar{y})^q, \quad \forall y \in V \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{M}(y) \cap U. \quad (8)$$

(8) implies that $\mathcal{M}(\bar{y}) \cap U = \{\bar{x}\}$.

The infimum of all $\kappa > 0$ in (8) is called the q -order isolated calmness modulus of \mathcal{M} at (\bar{y}, \bar{x}) and is also denoted by $\text{clm}_q \mathcal{M}(\bar{y}, \bar{x})$.

The 1-isolated calmness of \mathcal{M} at (\bar{y}, \bar{x}) is equivalent to the strong metric subregularity of \mathcal{M}^{-1} at (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) .

Definition

Given a set valued mapping $\mathcal{M} : Y \rightrightarrows X$ between normed spaces Y and X , its q -order graphical derivative at $(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) \in \text{gph } \mathcal{M}$ is a set-valued mapping $D_q \mathcal{M}(\bar{y}, \bar{x}) : Y \rightrightarrows X$ defined by

$$D_q \mathcal{M}(\bar{y}, \bar{x})(y) = \liminf_{t \downarrow 0, y' \rightarrow y} \frac{\mathcal{M}(\bar{y} + ty') - \bar{x}}{t^q}.$$

The *outer norm* of the mapping $D_q \mathcal{M}(\bar{y}, \bar{x})$ is

$$\|D_q \mathcal{M}(\bar{y}, \bar{x})\| := \sup_{(y,x) \in \text{gph } D_q \mathcal{M}(\bar{y}, \bar{x})} \frac{\|x\|}{\|y\|^q}.$$

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}(\bar{b}) = \{\bar{x}\}$ and assume that $P(\bar{c}, \bar{b})$ satisfies the Slater qualification. Consider the following statements:

- (i) $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}$ is q -order isolated calm at (\bar{b}, \bar{x}) ;
- (ii) h has a $(1/q)$ -order sharp minimum at \bar{x} ; i.e., there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$h(x) \geq h(\bar{x}) + \alpha \|x - \bar{x}\|^{1/q}, \text{ for all } x \text{ near to } \bar{x}.$$

- (iii) h has a q -order local error bound at \bar{x} .

Theorem (cont'd)

(iv) If $p = (1/q) - 1$, then $D_p \partial h(\bar{x}, 0_n)$ is q -order positively definite; i.e. $\exists c > 0$ such that

$$c \|x\|^2 \leq \langle u, x \rangle^{2q}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall u \in \liminf_{t \downarrow 0, x' \rightarrow x} \frac{\partial h(\bar{x} + tx')}{t^p}.$$

$$(v) \left\| D_q \mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}(\bar{b}, \bar{x}) \right\| < +\infty.$$

Then (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (v).

If $P(\bar{c}, \bar{b})$ is linear and T is finite, all these statements are equivalent, and then

$$\text{clm}_q \mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}(\bar{b}, \bar{x}) = \left\| D_q \mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}(\bar{b}, \bar{x}) \right\|.$$

Inspired in Kruger, L., Yang, Zhu'20, Aragón-Artacho, Geoffroy'14, Zheng, Ng'15, and Mordukhovich, Nghia'15.

Example

Consider the linear SIP, in \mathbb{R}^2 ,

$$P(\bar{c}, b) : \quad \text{Inf } x_1 \\ \text{s.t. } (\cos t) x_1 + (\sin t) x_2 \leq b_t, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Take for the nominal problem $\bar{b}_t = 1$, for all t . Then, $\bar{x} = (-1, 0)$ is the unique optimal solution of $P(\bar{c}, \bar{b})$.

In Cánovas, Dontchev, L, Parra'09 it is shown that $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}$ is not isolated calm at (\bar{b}, \bar{x}) , but $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{c}}$ is q -isolated calm for $q = 1/2$:

(a) Observe first that

$$h(x) = \max\{x_1 + 1, \|x\| - 1\}.$$

Example

(b) Then, if

$$A_1(\varepsilon) : = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - \bar{x}\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } x_1 + 1 > \|x\| - 1\},$$

$$A_2(\varepsilon) : = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - \bar{x}\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } x_1 + 1 < \|x\| - 1\},$$

$$A_3(\varepsilon) : = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - \bar{x}\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } x_1 + 1 = \|x\| - 1\},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, x \neq \bar{x}} h(x)^{q-1} d(0, \partial h(x)) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \min_{i=1,2,3} \inf_{x \in A_i(\varepsilon)} h(x)^{q-1} d(0_2, \partial h(x)) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \min_{i=1,2,3} \left(\varepsilon^{-1/2}, \left(\sqrt{1 + 2\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon^2} - 1 \right)^{-1/2}, 2(\varepsilon^2 + 4)^{-1/2} \right) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

References

- Aragón Artacho, F.J.; Geoffroy, M.H. Metric subregularity of the convex subdifferential in Banach spaces. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 15 (2014), no. 1, 35–47.
- Cánovas, M. J., Dontchev, A.L., López, M.A., Parra, J. Isolated calmness of solution mappings in convex semi-infinite optimization. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 350, 829-837 (2009)
- Cánovas, M. J., Hantoute, A., Parra, J., Toledo, F.J.: Calmness modulus of fully perturbed linear programs. *Math. Program. Ser. A*, 158, 267-290 (2016).
- Cánovas, M. J., Henrion R., López, M.A., Parra, J., Outer Limit of Subdifferentials and Calmness Moduli in Linear and Nonlinear Programming. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 169, 925-952 (2016).

- Cánovas, M. J., Kruger, A.Y., López, M.A., Parra, J., Thera, M.A.: Calmness modulus of linear semi-infinite programs. *SIAM J. Optim.* 24, 29–48 (2014).
- Cánovas, M. J., López, M.A., Parra, J., Toledo, F.J.: Calmness of the feasible set mapping for linear inequality systems. *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* 22, 375–389 (2014).
- A.Y. Kruger, M.A. López, X. Yang, J. Zhu, Hölder error bounds and Hölder calmness with applications to convex semi-infinite optimization. *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* 27 (2019), no. 4, 995–1023.
- A.Y. Kruger, M.A. López, X. Yang, J. Zhu, Working notes, 2020.
- B.S. Mordukhovich, T.T.A. Nghia, Second-order characterizations of tilt stability with applications to nonlinear programming. *Math. Program.* 149 (2015), no. 1-2, Ser. A, 83–104.
- X.Y. Zheng, K.F. Ng, Hölder stable minimizers, tilt stability, and Hölder metric regularity of subdifferentials. *SIAM J. Optim.*, 25 (2015), 416–438.

Antecedents

- D. AZÉ, J.-N. CORVELLEC, Characterizations of error bounds for lower semicontinuous functions on metric spaces, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* 10 (2004), pp. 409-425.
- M. FABIAN, R. HENRION, A. Y. KRUGER, J. OUSRATA, About error bounds in metric spaces. *Operations Research Proceedings 2011*. Selected paper of the Int. Conf. Operations Reserach (OR 2011), Zurich, Switzerland. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2012), 33-38.
- R. HENRION, A. JOURANI, J. OUSRATA, On the calmness of a class of multifunctions, *SIAM J. Optim.*, 13 (2002), 603-618.
- R. HENRION, D. KLATTE, Regularity and stability in nonlinear semi-infinite optimization; *Semi-Infinite Programming*, R. Reemtsen and J.-J. Rückmann (Eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998.

- R. HENRION, J. OUTRATA, Calmness of constraint systems with applications, Math. Program. 104B (2005), 437-464.
- A. JOURANI, Hoffman's error bound, local controllability, and sensitivity analysis, SIAM J. Control Optim. 38 (2000), 947-970.
- D. KLATTE, B. KUMMER, Nonsmooth Equations in Optimization: Regularity, Calculus, Methods and Applications, Nonconvex Optim. Appl. 60, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2002.
- D. KLATTE, B. KUMMER, Optimization methods and stability of inclusions in Banach spaces, Math. Program. B 117 (2009), pp. 305-330.
- D. KLATTE, G. THIÈRE, Error Bounds for Solutions of Linear Equations and Inequalities, Mathematical Methods of Operations Research, 41 (1995), 191-214.

- A. KRUGER, H. VAN NGAI, M. THÉRA, Stability of error bounds for convex constraint systems in Banach spaces, *SIAM J. Optim.* 20 (2010), 3280-3296.
- W. LI, The sharp Lipschitz constants for feasible and optimal solutions of a perturbed linear program, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 187 (1993), 15–40.
- S. M. ROBINSON, Some continuity properties of polyhedral multifunctions. *Mathematical programming at Oberwolfach (Proc. Conf., Math. Forschungsinstitut, Oberwolfach, 1979)*. *Math. Programming Stud.* No. 14 (1981), 206–214.
- X.Y. ZHENG, K.F. NG, Metric regularity and constraint qualifications for convex inequalities on Banach spaces, *SIAM J. Optim.* 14 (2003), pp. 757-772.